



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/607,871	06/30/2000	Borys S. Senyk	42390P8695	9971
7.	590 08/20/2003			
Carol F. Barry BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 7th Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			MCKINNON, TERRELL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			3743	0.1
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	Ž

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/607,871	SENYK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrell L Mckinnon	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimur yp period will apply and will expire SIX (by statute, cause the application to bet	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  some ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 August 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15,17-24 and 26-30 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-13,15,17-24 and 26-30 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) $\boxtimes$ The proposed drawing correction filed on $\underline{10/09/200119}$ is: a) $\boxtimes$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper</li> </ol>	948) 5) No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  btice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  her:				

Application/Control Number: 09/607,871 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's remarks of August 4, 2003. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15, 17-24 and 25-30 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-13, 15, 17-24 and 25-30 have been considered but are most in view of the following rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 8-16, 18-25, 27, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohashi et al. (U.S. 5,764,483) in view of Hunter et al. (U.S. 6,026,896).

Ohashi discloses a cooling unit and method for electronic equipment comprising:

- coupling a first heat transfer plate (14) to an electronic device (12) in a
   first part of a portable computing device (10);
- a second heat transfer plate (16 and 36) in a second part of the computing device (8) coupled to the first heat transfer plate;
- a close loop flexible (plastic, rubber) tube (18) that fluidly joins the first
   and second heat transfer plates together;

Application/Control Number: 09/607,871

Art Unit: 3743

the use of a heat transfer medium (water, oil, liquid refrigerant);

- the use of a pump (40) coupled to the tube, wherein it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the pump to circulate the heat transfer fluid at a rate of 1 milliliter/second to 10 milliliter/second to efficiently cooling the electronic components;
- the use of a disconnect connection (44a and 44b);
- the heat transfer plate comprises a plate-fin type liquid heat transfer plate; and
- the use of extensively dissipating heat (10 watts to 50 watts) at high capacities from the heat radiating plate (column 2, lines 45-50).

Ohashi fails to disclose sensing the temperature of the electronic device and causing the fluid to move when the threshold temperature is detected.

- 3. However, Hunter teaches the use of cooling computer enclosures comprising:
  - a temperature sensor probe (36) that senses the temperature of the electronic device, which causes fluid to move when the threshold temperature is, detected (column 3, lines 29-35, and column 4, lines 51-64).
- 4. Given the teachings of Hunter, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the cooling unit of Ohashi with a temperature sensor that sensing the temperature of the electronic device, and initiates fluid movement when the threshold temperature is detected.

Application/Control Number: 09/607,871

Art Unit: 3743

Doing so would provide an optimal condition of cooling electronic devices.

5. Claims 7, 17, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohashi in view of Hunter as applied to claims above, and further in view of Mizuno (U.S. 5,333,676).

Ohashi's invention, as modified by Hunter, discloses all of the claimed limitations except for a fluid container coupled to a tube having a sensor for sensing when the fluid is low in a fluid container.

- 6. However, Mizuno teaches a cooling system for electronic devices comprising;
  - a fluid container (14) coupled to a tube having a sensor (21) for sensing when the fluid is low in a fluid container.
- 7. Given the teachings of Mizuno, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the cooling system of Ohashi with a fluid container coupled to a tube having a sensor for sensing when the fluid is low in a fluid container.

Doing so would provide a safe and efficient external liquid cooling means, wherein electronic components are cooled to efficient operating temperatures.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 4, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's state, Hunter does not teach a technique for cooling a computer.

Furthermore, Hunter discloses a cooling system for the equipment used to fabricate a

**Art Unit: 3743** 

semiconductor during the fabrication process and not a computing device and therefore does not provide the necessary motivation to combine with Ohashi.

Hunter discloses a cooling system for controlling the temperature of multiple components or devices of semiconductor processing equipment (column 3, lines 30-40; and column 4, lines 37-50).

Wherein, it would have been very obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for Hunter's temperature control system for processing or fabricating semiconductors to have an electro-mechanical computing device or an electronic computer device for the propose of precise manufacturing of semiconductors and temperature regulation, as stated in the abstract.

Ohashi, as modified by Hunter, discloses a temperature sensor probe (36) that senses the temperature of the electronic device, which causes fluid to move when the threshold temperature is, detected (column 3, lines 29-35, and column 4, lines 51-64).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L Mckinnon whose telephone number is 703-305-0059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 305-4456. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7764 for regular communications and 703-308-7764 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Terrell L Mckinnon Patent Examiner Art Unit 3743 August 18, 2003